ARTICLE EVALUATION FORM FOR REVIEW

Title of the article	
Reviewer (full name)	
Academic degree and academic staff grade	
Institution	
The date you received the article for review	
The date you reviewed the article	*(no more than 2 weeks)*

Quality assessment	yes/ no	Comments
The title of the article reflects the content and the aim of this article		
The abstract is meaningful, informative and structured		
The keywords correspond to the content of the article		
The introduction highlights the relevance of this research, contains a clearly defined aim, reveals methodological bases of this study (approaches, methods)		
The content of the article corresponds to the scientific topic of the journal		
The article has all the necessary structural elements		
The author's scientific argumentation is logical and persuasive		
The results of this study are methodologically correctly presented and argumentatively reflect the main ideas of the article		

The conclusions fully demonstrate the results of the study, as well as the author makes suggestions for future research perspectives		
The article contains scientific novelty and has theoretical/ practical significance for the development of the specified problem		
The literature review is sufficient for the topic of the article		

Recommendations for the editor-in-chief (underline the appropriate option):

- publish without changes
- publish subject to further revision of the article by the author
- publish after significant revision of the article by the author
- reject the article

Additional thoughts, comments and recommendations of the reviewer:

The Reviewer's signature